

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
Train to the		DIVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Christopher B. Eckman	07039-235001	2130
09/824,924	04/03/2001	Christopher D. Zeran		
7590 03/05/2002			EXAMINER	
Mark S. Ellinger, Ph.D. Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A.			CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N	
Suite 3300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
60 South Sixth Minneapolis,	n Street MN 55402		1646	
Minneapons,	47.44 •		DATE MAILED: 03/05/2002	δ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/824,924	ECKMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olga N. Chemyshev	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EXPIRE 1	MONTH(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory and will expire SIX (6) MG	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		- '				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	n. 					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er. tdb\□ abiaatad to b	w the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies	not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application ha	s been received.				
	salic priority under 55 C.C					
Attachment(s)	4) 🗍 Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that increases the expression of ECE, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - II. Claims 7-9, 11-15, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that increases the activity of an ECE polypeptide, classified in class 436, subclass 501, for example.
 - III. Claim 10, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that increases catabolism of Aβ, classified in class 435, subclass 68.1, for example.
 - IV. Claims 16-17, drawn to a method of increasing $A\beta$ catabolism involving a compound that decreases the activity of an inhibitor of ECE, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.
 - V. Claims 18-19, drawn to a method of increasing $A\beta$ catabolism involving a compound that decreases the degradation of ECE, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.
 - VI. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of decreasing the amount of Aβ, involving administration of a vector, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1, for example.
 - VII. Claims 24-25, drawn to a method of treating an individual with AD, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

IIX. Claims 26-27, drawn to an ECE-selective inhibitor, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.

- IX. Claims 28-33, drawn to an ECE polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300, fro example.
- X. Claims 34-36, drawn to a nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass23.5, for example.
- XI. Claims 37-39, drawn to a method of diagnosing an individual with AD, classified in class 436, subclass 94, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII and XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different methods that recite structurally and functionally distinct elements, are not required one for the other, achieve different goals, and therefore constitute patentably distinct inventions.
- 3. Inventions II and IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Group IX could be used in an entirely different manner such as for the production of antibodies rather than in the methods of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

4. Inventions (I, III-IIX, X, XI) and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the polypeptides of Group IX are not required for the inventions of Groups (I, III-IIX, X, XI).

- 5. Inventions X and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Group X could be used in an entirely different manner such as for the production of polypeptides rather than in the methods of Group VI.
- 6. Inventions (I-V, VI-IX, IX, XI) and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the nucleic acids of Group X are not required for the inventions of Groups (I-V, VI-IX, IX, XI).
- 7. Inventions IIX and (IX, X) are unrelated also. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that an ECE-selective inhibitor of Group IXX are not required for the polypeptides or nucleic acids of Groups IX and X.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

8. Inventions IX and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Group X and polypeptides of Group IX are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for the processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter and non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Election of species

9. In case Group I or Group XI is elected, this application contains claims directed to a plurality of patentably distinct species of host cells such as those specifically articulated in claim 2 and to a plurality of patentably distinct species of biological samples such as those specifically articulated in claim 39.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 37 are generic, respectively.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,924

Art Unit: 1646

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. February 26, 2002

JOHN ULM